## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re: : Chapter 13

Hayward L. Ruff and Yvette D. Cooley-Ruff,

Debtors. : Bankruptcy No. 18-17384-MDC

## <u>ORDER</u>

**AND NOW**, pursuant to the Application for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses (the "Application")<sup>1</sup> filed by Michael D. Sayles (the "Applicant"), counsel to Hayward L. Ruff and Yvette D. Cooley-Ruff (the "Debtors"), the Applicant requests the allowance of compensation in the amount of \$4,500.00 and the reimbursement of expenses in the amount \$0.00.

**AND**, the Applicant was previously paid \$500.00 by the Debtors (the "Pre-Paid Amount").

**AND**, this Court entered an Order dated July 11, 2019 (the "Confirmation Order") <sup>2</sup> confirming the Debtors' Amended Chapter 13 Plan dated June 6, 2019 (the "Plan").<sup>3</sup>

**AND**, the payment allowed to be paid to the Applicant under the Plan is \$3,500.00. Plan at \$2(e).

**AND**, the Applicant filed a certification that proper service has been made on all interested parties.

**AND**, the Applicant filed a certification of no response.

**AND**, the Court of Appeals has held that the bankruptcy court "has a duty to review fee applications, notwithstanding the absence of objections by the United States Trustee . . ., creditors, or any other interested party, a duty which . . . derives from the court's inherent obligation to monitor the debtor's estate and to serve the public interest." *In re Busy Beaver Bldg. Centers, Inc.*, 19 F.3d 833, 841 (3d Cir. 1994) (emphasis in original).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bankr. Docket No. 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Bankr. Docket No. 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bankr. Docket No. 42.

**AND**, a confirmation order precludes the relitigation of any issues that were determined by the confirmation order. *In re Szostek*, 886 F.2d 1405, 1408-09 (3d Cir. 1989); *In re McDuffie*, Bky. No. 03-65333, 2005 WL 3108234, \*1 (Bankr. D. Md. Feb. 22, 2005) ("since no amendment to the plan was filed

an administrative expense."); In re Lasica, 294 B.R. 718, 722 (Bankr. N.D. III. 2003) (denying fee request

to increase the specific amount to be paid to counsel, the court cannot order payment through the plan as

because applicant was bound by terms of previously confirmed Chapter 13 plan); In re Young, 285 B.R.

168, 174-75 (Bankr. D. Md. 2002) ("the confirmation of the plan, in which a specific amount of

disbursement to counsel for the debtor as attorney's fees was required, acted as a final adjudication of the

matters set forth in the plan.").

It is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Application is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART**.

2. Consistent with the Plan and Confirmation Order, compensation is allowed in favor of the

Applicant in the total amount of \$4,000.00 and reimbursement of expenses is allowed in favor of the

Applicant in the amount of \$0.00 (the "Allowed Compensation and Expenses"). See L.B.R. 2016-1(f)

(governing procedure for disposition of fee applications without a hearing).

3. The Trustee is authorized to distribute to the Applicant the Allowed Compensation and

Expenses less the Pre-Paid Amount as an administrative expense pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§330, 331,

503(b).

Dated: September 30, 2019

MAGDELINE D. COLEMAN

Magdelin D. Colem

CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Michael D. Sayles, Esquire Sayles and Associates 427 West Cheltenham Avenue, Suite #2 Elkins Park, PA 19027-3201

William C. Miller, Esquire Chapter 13 Trustee P.O. Box 1229 Philadelphia, PA 19105

United States Trustee Custom House 200 Chestnut Street, Suite 502 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2912